

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/237,718 01/26/1999		RICK W. LANDSMAN	UNICAST-1CIP	7166	
7265	7590 07/14/2003				
MICHAELSON AND WALLACE			EXAMINER		
PARKWAY 109 OFFICE CENTER 328 NEWMAN SPRINGS RD			CARLSON,	CARLSON, JEFFREY D	
P O BOX 8489 RED BANK, NJ 07701			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,		3622		
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2003	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1				
1 1	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
	09/237,718	LANDSMAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey D. Carlson	3622				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13	-	•				
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONI	n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 A						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>See Continuation</u> Sheet is/are pendir	ag in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are rejecte						
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disappr	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	~				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti						
Attachment(s)		4/4/201				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informat	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Continuation Sheet (PTO-326)

Applicati n No. 09/237,718

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 3-10,12-18,20-25,27-33,35,37-44,46-52,54-59,61-67,69,71-78,80-86,88-93,95-102 and 104-108.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims rejected are 3-10,12-18,20-25,27-33,35,37-44,46-52,54-59,61-67,69,71-78,80-86,88-93,95-102 and 104-108.

Application/Control Number: 09/237,718

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 3-10, 12-18, 20-25, 27-33, 35, 37-44, 46-52, 54-59, 61-67, 69, 71-78, 80-86, 88-93, 95-102 and 104-108 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Judson (US5737619) in view of Capek et al (US6094677). Judson teaches the desire to display locally cached information (such as ads [col. 2 line 2, col. 7 lines 22-25]) to a user's web browser while the user waits for requested page content to be downloaded. Judson states that text or image content could be displayed during such wait periods. In the case of images, the processing of the embedded code communicates a request to a (management) server to download a file located at a server address. This file is taken to be "at least one file which is to be subsequently employed to render an information object". The file is requested and downloaded. Displaying/rendering the content of the file is taken to be "rendering an information object (ad)". The information object is taken to be the rendered visual content of the file The advertising content of the file, or information object is selected/defined at the management server. The content of the file when saved to the server selects/defines the information object/displayed ad. Therefore, the code that requests the file does not

Art Unit: 3622

specify or define the content or advertising information object displayed by rendering the file to a user. This is an example of using tag code to decouple the advertising content/object content from the first web page. Judson describes several processes/tests that determine/control browser function in order to implement the invention. Column 6 lines 13-16 describe that step 74 provides a test to determine whether a link associated with the object/ad is activated. Column 6 lines 18-28 describe a process where the client retrieves and displays the ad object in parallel with the downloaded of the requested content page. Step 84 includes a test/routine to determine whether the display is complete and allows the display of the requested content page. Column 7 lines 25-33 describe programming at the browser level to insert ads randomly, or even selective ads according to the user's history. Column 8 lines 30-43 describe the use of browser-executed Java applets (inherently include scripts and server url) to implement interactive/dynamic ads. Capek et al also describes methods to insert information (inserts can be ads [col. 8 lines 3+]) during delays in retrieving browser requested pages/information. Capek et al describes the use of browser executed applets to accomplish several features such as detecting a client request for remote information [col 7 lines 18-23], determining the future delay duration [col 10 lines 9-12], and selecting relevant ads based on the users profile [col 5 lines 9-12]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have provided code-based applets with that of Judson in a manner as taught by Capek et al so that the tests and routines of Judson can be accomplished. Capek et al teaches the identification of each ad as well as the queuing of ads and playing the ads in a

Application/Control Number: 09/237,718 Page 4

Art Unit: 3622

particular order [col 8 line 59 to col 9 line 5]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have downloaded the ads sequentially in the same order as they are to be played. The managers described by Capek et al can be taken to be "agents". It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have implemented the programming/managers as persistent within the user's browsing session so that other session-specific functions can be carried out as is well known, such as time of session tracking and customizing the ads for the specific user's session. Column 12 lines 39-42 describe a process of checking the configuration of the user's computer to determine whether a particular type of ad can be played back/displayed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have included a file describing the ad identity (as above) as well as the configuration options needed to successfully play the ad. Regarding claim 12, a new user session would inherently download and invoke the most recently stored applet, however it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to have checked for more recent versions so as to enable programming changes immediately.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/12/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the combination does not decouple the object content from the web page and does not lack a reference to the information object. In the case of images, the processing of the embedded code communicates a request to a

Application/Control Number: 09/237,718

Art Unit: 3622

(management) server to download a file located at a server address. This file is taken to be "at least one file which is to be subsequently employed to render an information object". The file is requested and downloaded. Displaying/rendering the *content* of the file is taken to be "rendering an information object (ad)". The information object is taken to be the rendered visual content of the file. The advertising content of the file, or information object is selected/defined at the management server. The content of the file when saved to the server selects/defines the information object/displayed ad.

Therefore, the code that requests the file does not specify or define the content or advertising information object displayed by rendering the file to a user. This is an example of using tag code to decouple the advertising content/object content from the first web page.

Applicant's agree that edited content of an ad image file retaining the same filename on a server would indeed change the displayed ad for the user. Applicant argues that doping so would interfere with other users' desire to see/use the original image. Such arguments are beyond the scope of the present claims.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 09/237,718

Art Unit: 3622

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jeffrey D. Carlson whose telephone number is 703-308-

3402. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-6p, (off on alternate

Fridays).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Eric Stamber can be reached on 703-305-8469. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326

for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1113.

Jeffrey D. Carlson **Primary Examiner**

Mph

Page 6

Art Unit 3622

idc

July 10, 2003